IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

TUESDAY, THE 3RD APRIL 2007 / 13TH CHAITHRA 1930

WP(C).No. 2180 of 2007(H)

PETITIONER:

T.K.NARAYANAN NAIR,
NIRMALLYAM, MALAYAMMA P.O.,
KUNNAMANGALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.A.SUDHI VASUDEVAN
SRI.T.SREEKANTAN
SRI.JOJO VARGHESE

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE SPECIAL GRADE SECRETARY,
KUNNAMANGALAM PANCHAYATH, KUNNAMANGALAM,
KOZHIKODE.

2. PRESIDENT, KUNNAMANGALAM PANCHAYAT,
KUNNAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE.

3. P.SANTHA, POOTHADATHIL (H),
WIDOW OF VASU VAIDYAR, POST MALAYAMMA,
CHANTHAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE.

4. N.VINOD, PRATEEKSHA,
OZHAYADI, KUNNAMANGALAM, KOZHIKODE.

R4 BY ADV. SRI.M.V.BOSE
R1 & R2 BY ADV. SRI.P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 03/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 2180 OF 2007

Dated this the 3rd day of April, 2007

JUDGMENT

Mr.M.V.Bose, appearing for the 4th respondent complains that even though this Court has clarified on 28.3.07 that the petitioner will not have the right to continue the business unless he is having a current valid licence, the petitioner is even now continuing the sale of liquor. In view of the obvious position that the period of licence which had been granted to the petitioner has expired, I am of the view that the Writ Petition has become infructuous. The Writ Petition will stand dismissed on that basis. The 1st respondent is directed to do everything that is necessary for stopping the activities of the 4th respondent unless the 4th respondent is having any licence. This judgment will not stand in the way of the Panchayat passing appropriate orders on the licence renewal application which may be filed by the 4th respondent.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt